

Change ID: 5.1-12

Airport marking condition

Summary

It is proposed to introduce a dedicated data type for painted marking condition, instead of using the surface condition list of values, which is inappropriate.

Background

The [Marking](#) and [RunwayDirection](#) classes have "condition" attributes that indicate the quality of the painted marking.

Rationale for the change

The data type used by the marking "condition" attributes is the same ([CodeSurfaceConditionType](#)) which is used to indicate the condition of a airport surface. It includes values such as "unsafe", "deformed", etc. Most of these values are inappropriate for a marking condition.

It is therefore proposed to introduce a dedicated list of values for marking conditions, with the values **EXCELLENT**, GOOD, FAIR, POOR. If necessary to indicate the reason for which the marking is in poor condition (such as deteriorated paint or rubber deposits), this can be done with a Note.

Change proposal details

Insert a new "enumeration" data type in the model - CodeMarkingConditionType"

- definition = " *A coded list of values that indicate the status of the painted surface marking elements.*"
- list of values:
 - **EXCELLENT = Marking is in perfect condition;**
 - GOOD = Marking is in good condition;
 - FAIR = Marking is in fair condition;
 - POOR = Marking is in poor condition;
 - OTHER = Other.

Replace the existing data type with the new CodeMarkingConditionType for the following attributes:

- "condition" attribute of the Marking class;
- "approachMarkingCondition" of the RunwayDirection class.